

Aufgabe 1 Implementations of an ADT

3+3+4

Consider the following specification of an abstract data type: Let \mathcal{U} be a totally ordered universe. We would like to store subsets $S \subseteq U$, so that the following operations are possible:

- `insert(x)`: Pre: None. Effect: $S \mapsto S \cup \{x\}$.
- `deleteMin()`: Pre: S is not empty. Effect: $S \mapsto S \setminus \{\min S\}$.
- `deleteMax()`: Pre: S is not empty. Effect: $S \mapsto S \setminus \{\max S\}$.

You may assume that two elements from \mathcal{U} can be compared in constant time. For each of the following data structures, describe briefly how to implement the operations `deleteMin` and `deleteMax` efficiently, and give good asymptotic upper bounds on the running times. If necessary, explain what additional assumptions need to be made.

- (a) Sorted doubly linked list with pointers to the first and last element;
- (b) AVL-tree; and
- (c) binary min-heap.

Aufgabe 2 Hashing

2+4+4

- (a) Hashing with chaining gives *expected* running time $O(1)$ per operation. What does this mean? What can we say about the *worst-case* performance?
- (b) Let T be a hash-table with chaining that has m slots and stores a set S with $2m$ entries. Prove the following: assuming that the hash function h behaves randomly, the expected time to find a random element x in the hash-table is $O(1)$. You may assume that $h(x)$ can be computed in $O(1)$ time.
- (c) Let T be a hash-table with n slots in which we store a set S with n entries. Let h be a hash function.

What is a *collision* under h ? Compute the *total* expected number of collisions in T , assuming that h behaves randomly.

Hint: Consider all possible pairs of entries in S , and use linearity of expectation.

Aufgabe 3 Miscellaneous

3+2+2+3

- (a) What is a cryptographic hash function? Describe two properties and one application.
- (b) What is the difference between the static and the dynamic data type of a variable? Give a short example.
- (c) Under which circumstances is a data structure with $O(\log n)$ *amortized* time per operation preferable to a data structure with $O(\log n)$ *worst-case* time per operation?
- (d) What is an *Abstract Data Type*? Give two example from class and explain the general principle that forms the basis of the notion of an abstract data type.

Aufgabe 4 Skip-Lists

4+3+3

- (a) Show that the expected size of a randomly constructed skip-list with n elements is $O(n)$.
- (b) Let L_1 and L_2 be two randomly constructed skip-lists, with element sets K_1 and K_2 , respectively. Give an efficient algorithm to obtain a skip-list for the element set $K_1 \cup K_2$ from L_1 and L_2 . Analyze the expected running time of your algorithm. (You may use the result from (a) without proof.)
- (c) Suppose that in (b) we also know that for all $k_1 \in K_1$, $k_2 \in K_2$ we have $k_1 < k_2$. This means that every element in L_1 comes before every element in L_2 . Describe an algorithm that merges L_1 and L_2 in expected time $O(\max\{\log |K_1|, \log |K_2|\})$. Prove the guarantee on the running time.
Hint: For $x \in (0, 1)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ix^i = x/(1-x)^2$.