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How many pseudoline arrangements?

| $n \quad$ \#PsA's with $n$ pseudolines |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $1 \times 3$ |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 8 |  |
| 5 | 62 | 1 |
| 6 | 908 | 2 |
| 7 | 24698 |  |
| 8 | 1232944 |  |
| 9 | 112018190 |  |
| 10 | 18410581880 | OEIS A006245 |
| 11 | 5449192389984 |  |
| 12 | 2894710651370536 |  |
| 13 | 2752596959306389652 |  |
| 14 | 4675651520558571537540 | \} [ Yuma Tanaka, 2013] |
| 15 | 14163808995580022218786390 | \} [Yuma Tanaka, 2013] |
| 16 | 76413073725772593230461936736 | [ G. Rote, 2021 ] |
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## Inductive Enumeration of PsA's

Counting is straightforward. (\#paths from $B$ in a DAG)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \# \text { paths } \leq 2.49^{n} \\
& \text { [Felsner, Valtr 2012] } \\
& \text { \#paths can be as } \\
& \text { large as } 2.076^{n} . \\
& \text { [O. Bíka 2010] }
\end{aligned}
$$

pseudoline $n+1=$ path in the dual DAG

# Threading several pseudolines at once 
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Take a fixed sweep by a sequence of ropes.
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- For every distribution of the $\ell$ strands to the $s$ pieces
- and for every permutation of the $\ell$ strands,

$$
[s(s+1)(s+2) \ldots(s+\ell-1) \text { entries ] }
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store the number of possibilities to thread the $\ell$ strands from the bottom face to the rope.
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What is the contribution to the next rope?
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## PARTIAL pseudoline arrangements
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Enumeration is as easy as for full PsA's.

## PARTIAL pseudoline arrangements

Pseudolines may not cross at all.


Preprocessing: $\rightarrow \ell!\times \ell$ ! table (sparse!)

## Algorithm summary

For each PsA of $k$ pseudolines:

- Compute a sweep by ropes
- For each rope:
- For each distribution and permutation of the $\ell$ strands: * Compute the contributions to the next rope, and accumulate them.


## Some implementation details

- Python, with scipy for large arrays of $32 / 64$-bit integers
- modular arithmetic, using $2^{64}$ plus two 30-bit moduli
- $n=16=k+\ell=7+9$. Large memory! 256 GBytes is enough; 128 GBytes sometimes failed.
- easy to parallelize:
a large number $(24,698)$ of independent tasks
- total CPU time: about 5.5 months, using various workstations of different speeds
- CPU time for $n=15=6+9$ (exploiting symmetry): 6 h . By contrast*: PYTHON without scipy took 50 CPU days.
- There is also a version in C (using CWEB) for the task of enumerating PsA's.
- Every arrangement requires $\geq n+1$ pieces (for $n \geq 3$ ).
- can always do with $\leq 2 n-2$ pieces. (greedy sweep)
- Some arrangements require $\left\lfloor\frac{7 n}{4}\right\rfloor-1$ pieces.
(This is the true maximum for $n \leq 9$.)
- NP-hard? (homotopy height, cutwidth)
[ Biedl, Chambers, Kostitsyna, Rote, 2020, unpublished, + this week ]
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The required rope length
This is really about bipolar orientations (s-t-planar DAGs):

"leftmost-first" greedy sweep
$\rightarrow$ coordinated simultaneous primal-dual sweep

## What really matters in practice



- several distribute steps simultaneously, followed by collects
- cross steps separately
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