# Fundamental Groups and Covering Spaces

Fabian Lenhardt

FU Berlin

21.02.2012

Let X and Y be topological spaces. We say that a continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism if it has a continuous inverse, i.e. if there is a continuous map  $g: Y \to X$  with  $g \circ f = \operatorname{id}_X$  and  $f \circ g = \operatorname{id}_Y$ . If there is a homeomorphism from X to Y, we say that X and Y are homeomorphic.

Let X and Y be topological spaces. We say that a continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism if it has a continuous inverse, i.e. if there is a continuous map  $g: Y \to X$  with  $g \circ f = id_X$  and  $f \circ g = id_Y$ . If there is a homeomorphism from X to Y, we say that X and Y are homeomorphic.

#### Question

How can we decide whether two spaces are not homeomorphic?

Let X and Y be topological spaces. We say that a continuous map  $f: X \to Y$  is a homeomorphism if it has a continuous inverse, i.e. if there is a continuous map  $g: Y \to X$  with  $g \circ f = id_X$  and  $f \circ g = id_Y$ . If there is a homeomorphism from X to Y, we say that X and Y are homeomorphic.

#### Question

How can we decide whether two spaces are not homeomorphic?

Our failure to write down a homeomorphism proves nothing. So we need some invariant which helps us distinguishing spaces.

## One of the most basic invariants in topology is the fundamental group.

Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

One of the most basic invariants in topology is the fundamental group. Let X be a topological space. We pick a basepoint  $x \in X$ .

One of the most basic invariants in topology is the fundamental group. Let X be a topological space. We pick a basepoint  $x \in X$ .

### Definition

A loop in X is a continuous map  $f : [0,1] \to X$  with f(0) = f(1) = x. Let L(X) be the set of all such loops.

One of the most basic invariants in topology is the fundamental group. Let X be a topological space. We pick a basepoint  $x \in X$ .

## Definition

A loop in X is a continuous map  $f : [0,1] \to X$  with f(0) = f(1) = x. Let L(X) be the set of all such loops.

The set L(X) is already an invariant of X - but a really bad one. So we have to be more clever.



Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

Fundamental Groups and Covering Spaces

900

Let  $f, g: [0,1] \to X$  be two loops in X. We say that f and g are homotopic if there is a continuous map  $H: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$  with H(-,0) = f, H(-,1) = g and H(0,t) = H(1,t) = x for all t, and we say that H is a homotopy from f to g.

Let  $f, g: [0,1] \to X$  be two loops in X. We say that f and g are homotopic if there is a continuous map  $H: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$  with H(-,0) = f, H(-,1) = g and H(0,t) = H(1,t) = x for all t, and we say that H is a homotopy from f to g.

The relation of being homotopic is an equivalence relation.

Let  $f, g: [0,1] \to X$  be two loops in X. We say that f and g are homotopic if there is a continuous map  $H: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$  with H(-,0) = f, H(-,1) = g and H(0,t) = H(1,t) = x for all t, and we say that H is a homotopy from f to g.

The relation of being homotopic is an equivalence relation.

### Definition

Let  $\pi_1(X, x)$  be the set of equivalence classes of loops under the homotopy-relation.

Let  $f, g: [0,1] \to X$  be two loops in X. We say that f and g are homotopic if there is a continuous map  $H: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to X$  with H(-,0) = f, H(-,1) = g and H(0,t) = H(1,t) = x for all t, and we say that H is a homotopy from f to g.

The relation of being homotopic is an equivalence relation.

#### Definition

Let  $\pi_1(X, x)$  be the set of equivalence classes of loops under the homotopy-relation.

Currently,  $\pi_1(X, x)$  is only a set. But it can be turned into a group.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

Let  $f,g:[0,1] \to X$  be two loops, representing elements of  $\pi_1(X,x)$ .

< ∃ ►

Let  $f, g : [0, 1] \to X$  be two loops, representing elements of  $\pi_1(X, x)$ . We define a new loop  $f \star g : [0, 1] \to X$ . Informally speaking,  $f \star g$  is obtained by running through f twice as fast as before, and then running through g twice as fast as before. Let  $f, g : [0, 1] \to X$  be two loops, representing elements of  $\pi_1(X, x)$ . We define a new loop  $f \star g : [0, 1] \to X$ . Informally speaking,  $f \star g$  is obtained by running through f twice as fast as before, and then running through g twice as fast as before. In formulas:

$$(f\star g)(t)=egin{cases} f(2t) & ext{if } 0\leq t\leq rac{1}{2} \ g(2t-1) & ext{if } rac{1}{2}\leq t\leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Let  $f, g : [0, 1] \to X$  be two loops, representing elements of  $\pi_1(X, x)$ . We define a new loop  $f \star g : [0, 1] \to X$ . Informally speaking,  $f \star g$  is obtained by running through f twice as fast as before, and then running through g twice as fast as before. In formulas:

$$(f\star g)(t)=egin{cases} f(2t) & ext{if } 0\leq t\leq rac{1}{2} \ g(2t-1) & ext{if } rac{1}{2}\leq t\leq 1 \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to check that the homotopy class of  $f \star g$  in  $\pi_1(X, x)$  does only depend on the homotopy classes of f and g in  $\pi_1(X, x)$ . Hence we get a well-defined multiplication on  $\pi_1(X, x)$ . On π<sub>1</sub>(X, x), this multiplication is associative: There is a homotopy between (f \* g) \* h and f \* (g \* h) for all loops f, g, h.

- On π<sub>1</sub>(X, x), this multiplication is associative: There is a homotopy between (f \* g) \* h and f \* (g \* h) for all loops f, g, h.
- ② Let e : [0,1] → X be the constant loop with e(t) = x for all t. Then f \* e and e \* f are both homotopic to f, so e is a neutral element.

- On π<sub>1</sub>(X, x), this multiplication is associative: There is a homotopy between (f \* g) \* h and f \* (g \* h) for all loops f, g, h.
- ② Let e : [0,1] → X be the constant loop with e(t) = x for all t. Then f \* e and e \* f are both homotopic to f, so e is a neutral element.
- The inverse of f is the loop f' obtained from f by running in the other direction: f'(t) = f(1 t).

- On π<sub>1</sub>(X, x), this multiplication is associative: There is a homotopy between (f \* g) \* h and f \* (g \* h) for all loops f, g, h.
- ② Let e : [0,1] → X be the constant loop with e(t) = x for all t. Then f \* e and e \* f are both homotopic to f, so e is a neutral element.
- The inverse of f is the loop f' obtained from f by running in the other direction: f'(t) = f(1 t).
- Hence  $\pi_1(X, x)$  is a group, called the fundamental group of X.

Basic example of a covering map:

$$p: \mathbb{R} \to S^1, t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$$

• = • •

< A

# Covering maps



Basic example of a covering map:

$$p:\mathbb{R} o S^1,t\mapsto e^{2\pi it}$$

### Definition

Assume X is path-connected. Let  $p: \tilde{X} \to X$  be a continuous map with  $\tilde{X}$  also path-connected. We say that p is a covering map if the following holds:

Each point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood U such that there is a homeomorphism  $p^{-1}(U) \cong p^{-1}(x) \times U$  under which the projection  $p : p^{-1}(U) \to U$  corresponds to the projection onto the second factor  $p^{-1}(x) \times U \to U$ .

Basic example of a covering map:

$$p:\mathbb{R} o S^1,t\mapsto e^{2\pi it}$$

### Definition

Assume X is path-connected. Let  $p: \tilde{X} \to X$  be a continuous map with  $\tilde{X}$  also path-connected. We say that p is a covering map if the following holds:

Each point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood U such that there is a homeomorphism  $p^{-1}(U) \cong p^{-1}(x) \times U$  under which the projection  $p: p^{-1}(U) \to U$  corresponds to the projection onto the second factor  $p^{-1}(x) \times U \to U$ . If in addition  $\pi_1(\tilde{X}) = 0$ , we say that  $\tilde{X}$  is the universal cover of X.





Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

## Proposition

Let  $p: \tilde{X} \to X$  be a covering map. Let  $f: [0,1] \to X$  be a loop at x. Pick  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  with  $p(\tilde{x}) = x$ . Then there is a unique path  $\tilde{f}: [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$  with  $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{x}$  lifting f, i.e. such that  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ .

## Proposition

Let  $p: \tilde{X} \to X$  be a covering map. Let  $f: [0,1] \to X$  be a loop at x. Pick  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  with  $p(\tilde{x}) = x$ . Then there is a unique path  $\tilde{f}: [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$  with  $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{x}$  lifting f, i.e. such that  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ . If H is a homotopy from f to g, there is a unique homotopy  $\tilde{H}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$  lifting H, i.e. such that  $p \circ \tilde{H} = H$ . This homotopy fixes the endpoints of  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$ . Conversely, each homotopy of paths from  $\tilde{f}$  to another path  $\tilde{h}$ , fixing the endpoints, gives rise to a homotopy of loops from  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$  to  $h = p \circ \tilde{h}$ .

## Proposition

Let  $p: \tilde{X} \to X$  be a covering map. Let  $f: [0,1] \to X$  be a loop at x. Pick  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$  with  $p(\tilde{x}) = x$ . Then there is a unique path  $\tilde{f}: [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$  with  $\tilde{f}(0) = \tilde{x}$  lifting f, i.e. such that  $p \circ \tilde{f} = f$ . If H is a homotopy from f to g, there is a unique homotopy  $\tilde{H}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to \tilde{X}$  lifting H, i.e. such that  $p \circ \tilde{H} = H$ . This homotopy fixes the endpoints of  $\tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{g}$ . Conversely, each homotopy of paths from  $\tilde{f}$  to another path  $\tilde{h}$ , fixing the endpoints, gives rise to a homotopy of loops from  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$  to  $h = p \circ \tilde{h}$ .

So the homotopy class of the loop f is uniquely determined by the homotopy class of the path  $\tilde{f}$ , where we demand that homotopies fix the endpoints of our paths.

It follows that the elements of  $\pi_1(X)$  are in 1-1 correspondence with  $p^{-1}(x)$ : Fix  $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$ . For each  $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ , there is a path  $\tilde{f}$ , unique up to homotopy, from  $\tilde{x}$  to y. Then  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$  is a loop.

14 / 19

It follows that the elements of  $\pi_1(X)$  are in 1-1 correspondence with  $p^{-1}(x)$ : Fix  $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$ . For each  $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ , there is a path  $\tilde{f}$ , unique up to homotopy, from  $\tilde{x}$  to y. Then  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$  is a loop. This acts we approximate between  $p \in (X)$  and  $p^{-1}(x)$  as acts

This sets up a correspondence between  $\pi_1(X)$  and  $p^{-1}(x)$  as sets.

It follows that the elements of  $\pi_1(X)$  are in 1-1 correspondence with  $p^{-1}(x)$ : Fix  $\tilde{x} \in p^{-1}(x)$ . For each  $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ , there is a path  $\tilde{f}$ , unique up to homotopy, from  $\tilde{x}$  to y. Then  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$  is a loop. This sets up a correspondence between  $\pi_1(X)$  and  $p^{-1}(x)$  as sets.

But what about the group structure?

A deck transformation of  $\tilde{X}$  is a homeomorphism  $\phi: X \to X$  such that  $p \circ \phi = p: \tilde{X} \to X$ 

A deck transformation of  $\tilde{X}$  is a homeomorphism  $\phi: X \to X$  such that  $p \circ \phi = p: \tilde{X} \to X$ 

## Proposition

For each  $y \in p^{-1}(x)$ , there is a unique deck transformation  $\phi : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{X}$  such that  $\phi(\tilde{x}) = y$ .

Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

## The set of all deck transformations forms a group G under composition.

The set of all deck transformations forms a group G under composition. Each deck transformation  $\phi$  determines a loop  $T(\phi)$ : Pick a path  $\tilde{f}$  from  $\tilde{x}$  to  $\phi(\tilde{x})$  and form the loop  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$ .

16 / 19

The set of all deck transformations forms a group G under composition. Each deck transformation  $\phi$  determines a loop  $T(\phi)$ : Pick a path  $\tilde{f}$  from  $\tilde{x}$  to  $\phi(\tilde{x})$  and form the loop  $f = p \circ \tilde{f}$ . This gives rise to a group homomorphism  $T : G \to \pi_1(X, x)$ .

16 / 19



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Theorem

Fabian Lenhardt (FU Berlin)

The map  $T: G \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$  is an isomorphism

< ∃ ►

#### Theorem

The map  $T: G \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$  is an isomorphism

Hence the fundamental group can be read off from the group of deck transformations, which is often easy to determine.