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## Data Mining

- Data Mining is all about automating the process of searching for patterns in the data.


## Which patterns are interesting?

- How do we find them?


### 5.1 Introduction

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PERSONID $\mu$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ AGE H | WORKCLASS H | WEIGHT ${ }^{\text {H }}$ | Education $\mu$ | EDUCATON_NUM ${ }^{\mu}$ | MAFITN_Btatus $\mu$ | OCCUPATION\# |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 70 |  | 133348 | H5-grad | 9 | Married |  | Husban |
|  | 4 | 24 | SeIteni | 277700 | - Bach | 10 | Separ. | Handier | O-ctald |
|  | 5 | 20 | Private | 226978 | H5-grad | 9 | Newem | Ofer | O-child |
|  | 6 | 20 | Stargor | 205895 | < Bach | 10 | Neverm | Clenk. | O-crild |
|  | 14 | 24 | Prost | 162593 | Bach. | 13 | Newem | Clerk. | NOSnFs |
|  | 19 | 42 | Pinste | 317078 | HS-grad | 9 | Drore. | Mathine | NOAnFa |
|  | 20 | 64 | Serter | 181408 | Assoc:V | 11 | Marned | Crans | Mustan |
|  | 28 | 37 | Fed-gor | 325538 | Masters | 14 | Mamied | Prot. | Husban |
|  | 28 | 34 | Private | 37210 | HS-prad | 9 | NeverM | Clerik. | O-ctild |
|  | 33 | 46 | Private | 116330 | Masters | 14 | NeverM | Prot. | Notinfa |
|  | 52 | 52 | Loc-gov | 346688 | Masters | 14 | NeverM | Prot. | O-child |
|  | 55 | 37 | Panse | 100503 | Bach. | 13 | NeverM | Claris. | Notn5a |
|  | 56 | 25 | Finate | 154270 | 11th | 1 | Mabsent | Sales | O-crild |
|  | 57 | 41 | Sta-gor | 110556 | Masters | 14 | Married | Exac. | W\%o |
|  | 58 | 58 | Private | 153551 | HSgrad | 9 | Ditore. | Sales | Unmarn |
|  | 60 | 32 | Pivast | 239652 | H89rad | 9 | Mamed | Crats | Husban |
|  | 61 | 26 | Prost | 106705 | H89ras | 9 - | Neverm | Handier | O-child |
|  | 89 | 23 | Prowe | 149704 | HS-gras | 9 | Newerm | Clerk. | O-ctidg |
|  | 90 | 43 | Loc-gov | 135056 | HS-prad | 9 | separ. | Clenk. | Ones.R |
|  | C: | an | $\cdots \cdots$ | ...9 | -... | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ |
| Aurnhiongarento |  | 0.191 | Zuruitgegatant 2 | Fiton\|1225 | 大- |  | ett solzeigen | Schielen | Hile |

- Large amount of data
- Find "hidden knowledge" - e.g. correlations between attributes
- Statistical techniques
- Challenge for DB technology: scalable algorithms for very large data sets


## Introduction

- Typical Mining tasks


## Classification

Given set of data and a set of classes. Assign data object to one class according to its characteristics (e.g. values)
find risk dependent on age, sex, make, horsepower risk = 'high' or 'low' in db of car insurance

Methods: Decision tree of data set
Naïve Bayes
Adaptive Bayes
Goal: prediction of attribute value $x=c$ dependent on predictor attributes

$$
F(a 1, \ldots, a n)=c
$$

Sometimes written as classification rule :
$($ age $<40) \wedge\left(\right.$ sex $\left.=` m^{\prime}\right) \wedge($ make=`Golf GTI' $) \wedge(h p>100)$ $\Rightarrow$ (risk='high')

## Introduction

Association rules
Market basket analysis: customer transaction data: tid, time, \{articles\}
Find rules $X \Rightarrow Y$, with particular confidence
e.g. those buying sauce, meat and spaghetti buy red wine with 0.7 probability.

## Clustering

Group homogenous data into clusters according to some similarity measure. Not predefined as opposed to classification.

## Data Mining

- Which patterns are interesting?

What means "interesting"?
Some quantitative measure?

- Which might be mere illusions?
- And how can they be exploited?
- Data mining uses Machine Learning algorithms
- Well known since the 80's
- Challenge: apply to very large data sets


## Introduction

## - Data mining process

- Data gathering, joining, reformatting e.g. Oracle: max 1000 attributes $\Rightarrow$ transform into "transactional format": (id, attr_name, value)
- Data cleansing
- eliminate outliers
- check correctness based on domain specific heuristics
- check values in case of redundancy, ...
- Build model (training phase). (Example: Decision tree)
- Apply to new data


### 5.2 Building a decision tree

| mpg | cylinders | displacement | horsepower | weight | acceleration | modelyear | maker | 40 records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| good | 4 | low | low | low | high | 75to78 | asia |  |
| bad | 6 | medium | medium | medium | medium | 70to74 | america |  |
| bad | 4 | medium | medium | medium | low | 75to78 | europe |  |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 70to74 | america |  |
| bad | 6 | medium | medium | medium | medium | 70to74 | america |  |
| bad | 4 | low | medium | low | medium | 70to74 | asia |  |
| bad | 4 | low | medium | low | low | 70to74 | asia |  |
| bad | 8 | high | high | high | low | 75to78 | america |  |
| : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |
| : | . | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |
| : | . | : | : | : | : | : | : |  |
| bad |  | high | high | high | low | 70to74 | america |  |
| good |  | high | medium | high | high | 79to83 | america |  |
| bad |  | high | high | high | low | 75to78 | america |  |
| good | 4 | low | low | low | low | 79to83 | america |  |
| bad | 6 | medium | medium | medium | high | 75to78 | america |  |
| good | 4 | medium | low | low | low | 79to83 | america |  |
| good |  | low | low | medium | high | 79to83 | america |  |
| bad |  | high | high | high | low | 70to74 | america |  |
| good |  | low | medium | low | medium | 75to78 | europe |  |
| bad |  | medium | medium | medium | medium | 75to78 | europe |  |

Miles per gallon: how can we predict mpg ("bad", "good") from the other attributes example by A.Moore, data by R. Quinlan

## Building a decision tree

- Wanted: tree which allows to predict value of an $x$ given the values of the other attributes a1,...an
- Given: a training set - attribute value of $x$ known

How to construct the tree?
Which attribute to start with?
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## Building a decision tree

Simple binary partitioning
$\mathrm{D}=$ Data set, $\mathrm{n}=$ node (root), a attribute
Prediction attribute $x$

## BuildTree(n,D,a)

split $D$ according to a into D1, D2 -- binary! for each child $D_{i}\{$
if ( $x==$ const for all records in $D_{i}$
OR no attribute can split $D_{i}$ ) make leaf node else
\{ Chose "good" attribute b create children n1 and n2 Partition Di into $D_{i 1}$ und $D_{i 2}$

What is a "good" discriminating attribute?

BuildTree(n1, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i} 1}, \mathrm{~b}\right)$
BuildTree(n2, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i} 2}, \mathrm{~b}\right)$ \}

### 5.2.1 Data mining and Information Theory

A short introduction to Information Theory
by Andrew W. Moore

Information theory:

- originally a "Theory of Communication"
(C. Shannon)
- useful for data mining


## Information Theory

- Huffman - Code

Given an alphabet $A=\{a 1, \ldots, a n\}$ and probabilities of occurrence pi = p(ai) in a text for each ai.

Find a binary code for A which minimizes
$\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{A})=\Sigma \mathrm{pi}$ * length $\left(\mathrm{cw}_{\mathrm{i}}\right), \quad \mathrm{cw}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ binary codeword of ai
$\mathrm{H}^{\prime}(\mathrm{A})$ is minimized for length $\left(\mathrm{cw}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\left\lceil\log _{2} 1 / \mathrm{pi}\right\rceil$
well known how to construct it... $\Rightarrow$ intro to algorithms
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A})=-\Sigma \mathrm{pi}{ }^{*} \log _{2}$ pi : important characterization of A what does it mean?

## Entropy: interpretations

## - Entropy

$$
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~A})=-\Sigma \mathrm{pi} * \log _{2} \mathrm{pi}
$$

- minimal number of bits to encode $A$

- amount of uncertainty of receiver before seeing an event (a character transmitted)
- amount of surprise when seeing the event
- the amount of information gained after receiving the event.


## Information Theory and alphabets

- Example
$L=\{A, C, T, G\}, p(A)=p(C)=p(T)=p(G)=1 / 4$,
Boring: seeing a " $T$ " in a sequence is as interesting as seeing a " $G$ " or seeing an " A ".
$H(L)=-1 / 4 * \sum \log 1-\log 4=2$
But:
$L^{\prime}=\{A, C, T, G\}, p(A)=0.7, p(C)=0.2, p(T)=p(G)=0.05$
Seeing a "T" or a "G" is exciting as opposed to "A"
$H\left(L^{\prime}\right)=-\left(-0.7^{*} 0,514-0.2^{*} 2.31-2^{*} 0.05^{*} 4.32\right)$
$=0.36+0.464+0.432=1.256$
Low entropy more interesting


## Histograms and entropy

SELECT Count(*), education
FROM Census_2d_apply_unbinned
GROUP BY education;


| X y |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 9th | Male |  |
| 7 9th | Female | What can we say about Y if we |
| 19 10th | Male | know X? |
| 10 10th | Female |  |
| 23 11th | Male |  |
| 13 11th | Female |  |
| 9 12th | Male | Special conditional entropy: |
|  | Female Male | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X}=$ val) is entropy for those |
| 65 Bach. | Female | records having $\mathrm{X}=$ val |
| 26 Profsc | Male |  |
| 5 Profsc <br> 3 1st-4th | Female Male |  |
| 4 1st-4th | Female | e.g. $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X}=$ 'Profsc') |
| 9 9th-6th | Male | $=26 / 31{ }^{*} \log 31 / 26+5 / 31^{*} \log 31 / 5=0.637$ |
| $137 \mathrm{th}-8 \mathrm{th}$ | Male | (31 records ) |
| 4 7th-8th | Female |  |
| $\begin{aligned} 158 & \text { < Bach. } \\ 83 & \text { < Bach. }\end{aligned}$ | Male Female | Conditional entropy: |
| 27 Assoc-A | Male |  |
| 17 Assoc-A | Female | $\Sigma \operatorname{Prob}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{xi})^{*} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{xi})$ is |
| $\begin{array}{r} 33 \text { Assoc-v } \\ 7 \text { Assoc-v } \end{array}$ | Male Female | the average conditional entropy of $Y$ |
| 287 HS-grad | Male |  |
| 146 HS-grad | Female |  |
| 55 Masters <br> 33 Masters | Male Female | e.g. $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Y} \mid \mathrm{X})=\mathrm{H}($ education\|sex) $=0.909$ |
| 1 Presch. | Male |  |
| 2 Presch. | Female |  |

## Information gain

- What does the knowledge of $X$ tell us about the value of $Y$ ?
- Or: Given the value of $X$, how much does the surprise of seeing an $Y$ event decrease?
- Or: If sender and receiver know value of $X$, how much bits are required to encode $Y$ ?

$$
\text { IG }(Y \mid X)=H(Y)-H(Y \mid X)
$$

e.g. IG (education | sex) =

H (education) $-\mathrm{H}($ education|sex $)=2.872-0,909=1.86$
e.g. IG (maritalStatus | sex)
$=\mathrm{H}$ (status) -H (status|sex) $=1.842-0.717=1.125$

## Information gain: what for?

- Suppose you are trying to predict whether someone is going live past 80 years. From historical data you might find...
- IG(LongLife | HairColor) $=0.01$
- IG(LongLife | Smoker) $=0.2$
- IG(LongLife | Gender) $=0.25$
- IG(LongLife | LastDigitOfSSN) $=0.00001$
- IG tells you how interesting a 2-d contingency table is going to be.


## Contingency tables

For each pair of values for attributes (status, sex) we can see how many records match (2-dimensional)

What is a k-dim contingency table? Any difference to data cube?
COUNT (*) MARITAL_STAT SEX
----------- ---------

1 Mar-AF Male
2 Mar-AF Female
214 NeverM Male
166 NeverM Female
14 Separ. Male
29 Separ. Female
66 Divorc. Male
95 Divorc. Female
12 Mabsent Male
8 Mabsent Female
509 Married Male
78 Married Female
4 Widowed Male
28 Widowed Female

### 5.2.2 Building a decision tree

## Remember

Decision tree is a plan to test attribute values in a particular sequence in order to predict the binary target value
Example: predict miles per gallon (low, high) depending on horse power, number of cylinders, make, ...

## Constructing the tree from training set

 In each step:- chose attribute which has highest information gain


## Construction of DT: choosing the right attribute



## Building the tree



## Recursion Step



And partition it according to the value of the attribute we split on

Records in which cylinders
$=5$


Records in which cylinders
$=6$

Records in which cylinders $=8$

## Recursion Step



Build tree from Build tree from Build tree from Build tree from These records.. These records.. These records.. These records..


## Second level of tree




## DT construction algorithm

## BuildTree(DataSet, Output)

- If all output values are the same in DataSet, return a leaf node that says "predict this unique output"
- If all input values are the same, return a leaf node that says "predict the majority output"
- Else find attribute $X$ with highest Info Gain
- Suppose $X$ has $n_{X}$ distinct values (i.e. $X$ has arity $n_{X}$ ).
- Create and return a non-leaf node with $n_{X}$ children.
- The i'th child should be built by calling

BuildTree(DS ${ }_{i}$,Output)
Where $D S_{i}$ built consists of all those records in DataSet for which $X=$ ith distinct value of $X$.

## Errors

## Training set error

- Check with records of training set if predicted value equals known value in record


## Test set error

- use only subset of training set for tree construction
- Predict output value ("mpg") and compare with the known value
- Check attribute to be predicted in training set

If prediction wrong: test set error

- For detailed analysis of errors etc see tutorial of A. Moore

Training set error much smaller than test set error - why?

|  | Num Errors | Set Size | Percent <br> Wrong |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Training Set | 1 | 40 | 2.50 |
| Test Set | 74 | 352 | 21.02 |

## Decision trees: conclusion

- Simple, important data mining tool
- Easy to understand, construct, use
- no prior assumptions on data
- predicts categorial date from categorial and / or numerical data
- applied to real life problems
- produce rules which can be easily interpreted

But:

- only categorial output value
- overfitting: paying too much attention to irrelevant attributes
... but not known in advance, which data are "noise" $\Rightarrow$ statistical tests


### 5.3 Association rules: a short introduction

- Goal: discover co-occurence of items in large volumes of data ("market basket analysis")

Example: how many customers by a printer together with their PC

- Non supervised learning
- Measures:
- support ( $A \Rightarrow B$ ) $=P(A, B)$ how often co-occur $A$ and $B$ in the data set
e.g. 0.05 if $5 \%$ of all customers bought a printer and a PC
- confidence $(A \Rightarrow B)=P(B \mid A)$ fraction of customers, who bought a PC and also bought a printer, e.g. 0.8: 4 of 5 bought also printer


## A Priori algorithm for finding associations

| Transactionen |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| TransID | Product |
| 111 | printer |
| 111 | paper |
| 111 | PC |
| 111 | toner |
| 222 | PC |
| 222 | scanner |
| 333 | printer |
| 333 | paper |
| 333 | toner |
| 444 | printer |
| 444 | PC |
| 555 | printer |
| 555 | paper |
| 555 | PC |
| 555 | scanner |
| 555 | toner |
|  |  |

Goal: Find all rules $A \Rightarrow B$ with support >= minSupport and<br>confidence >= minConfidence

Algorithm first finds all frequent items:
FI $=\{p \mid p$ occurs in at least minSupport transactions\}

All subsets of Fl are also frequent item sets.

## A Priori Algorithm

for all products p \{
if $p$ occurs more than minSupport make
frequent item set with one element: $\left.F_{1}{ }^{p}=\{p\}\right\}$
$\mathrm{k}=1$
repeat \{
for each Fk with k products generate candidates $\mathrm{Fk}+1$ with $\mathrm{k}+1$ products and $\mathrm{Fk} \subseteq \mathrm{Fk}+1$.
check in database, which candidates occur at least
minSupport times; (sequential scan of DB)
$k=k+1\}$
until no new frequent item set found

| Transactionen |  | minSupport =3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TransID | Product |  | Temporary results |  |
| 111 | printer |  | Fl-candidate | \# |
| 111 | paper |  | \{printer\} | 4 |
| 111 | PC |  | \{paper \} | 3 |
| 111 | toner |  | \{PC\} | 4 |
| 222 | PC |  | \{scanner\} | 2 |
| 222 | scanner |  | \{toner\} | 3 |
| 333 | printer |  | \{printer, paper\} | 3 |
| 333 | paper |  | \{printer, PC\} | 3 |
| 333 | toner |  | \{printer, Scanner\} |  |
| 444 | printer |  | \{printer, Toner\} | 3 |
| 444 | PC |  | \{paper, PC\} | 2 |
| 555 | printer |  | \{paper, Scanner\} |  |
| 555 | paper |  | \{paper, toner\} | 3 |
| 555 | PC |  | \{PC, scanner\} |  |
| 555 | scanner |  | \{PC,toner\} | 2 |
| 555 | toner | example adapted ${ }^{\text {en }}$ (rom Kemper | \{scanner, toner\} |  |



## Generate association rules

Given: set of FI of frequent items
for each FI with support >= minSupport:
$\{$ for each subset $L \subset F I$
define rule $R$ : $L \Rightarrow F I \backslash L$
confidence (R) = support FI / support L
if confidence $(R)>=$ minConfidence: keep $L$
\}

## Example:

FI = \{printer, paper, toner\}
Support = 3
Rule: \{printer\} $\Rightarrow$ \{paper, toner\},
Confidence $=$ Support(\{printer, paper, toner\}) $/$ Support(\{printer\})

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(3 / 5) /(4 / 5) \\
& =3 / 4=75 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Increase of confidence

- Increase of Left hand side (i.e. decrease of right hand side) of a rule increases confidence
$L \subset L^{+}, \mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^{-} \Rightarrow$ Confidence $(L \Rightarrow R)<=C\left(L^{+} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{-}\right)$
- Rule: $\{$ printer $\} \Rightarrow$ \{paper, toner\}
confidence $=$ support(\{printer, paper, toner\}) / support(\{printer\})

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(3 / 5) /(4 / 5) \\
& =3 / 4=75 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

- Rule: \{printer, paper\} $\Rightarrow$ \{toner\}
confidence $=\mathrm{S}(\{$ printer, paper, Toner\}) / S(\{printer,paper\})

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(3 / 5) /(3 / 5) \\
& =1=100 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

## Summary data mining

- important statistical technique
- basis algorithms from machine learning
- many different methods and algorithms
- distinction supervised versus unsupervised learning
- efficient implementation on very large data sets essential
- Enormous commercial interest (business transactions, web logs, ....)

