Course "Softwaretechnik"

Design: Reuse and Patterns

Lutz Prechelt, Bernd Bruegge, Allen H. Dutoit
Freie Universität Berlin, Institut für Informatik

- About "difficult" and "simple"
  - Get-15, Tic-Tac-Toe
- Patterns as simplification and reuse
- Design patterns
  - Composite
  - Adapter
  - Bridge
  - Facade

Wo sind wir?: Taxonomie "Die Welt der Softwaretechnik"

Freie Universität Berlin

Welt der Problemstellungen:

- Produkt (Komplexitätsprob.)
  - Anforderungen (Problemraum)
  - **Entwurf (Lösungsraum)**
- Prozess (psycho-soziale P.)
  - Kognitive Beschränkungen
  - Mängel der Urteilskraft
  - Kommunikation, Koordination
  - Gruppendynamik
  - Verborgene Ziele
  - Fehler

Welt der Lösungsansätze:

- Technische Ansätze ("hart")
  - **Abstraktion**
  - **Wiederverwendung**
  - Automatisierung
- Methodische Ansätze ("weich")
  - Anforderungsermittlung
  - **Entwurf**
  - Qualitätssicherung
  - Projektmanagement

Wo sind wir?: Entwurf

Freie Universität Berlin

- Einsicht: Man sollte *vor* dem Kodieren über eine günstige Struktur der Software nachdenken
  - und diese als Koordinationsgrundlage schriftlich festhalten

- Prinzipien:
  - **Trennung von Belangen**
  - **Architektur**: Globale Struktur festlegen (Grobentwurf), insbes. für das Erreichen der nichtfunktionalen Anforderungen
  - **Modularisierung**: Trennung von Belangen durch Modularisierung, Kombination der Teile durch Schnittstellen (information hiding, Lokalität)
  - ***Wiederverwendung***: Erfinde Architekturen und Entwurfsmuster nicht immer wieder neu
  - **Dokumentation**: Halte sowohl Schnittstellen als auch zu Grunde liegende Entwurfsentscheidungen und deren Begründungen fest

A game: Get-15

- Start with the nine numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9
- You and your opponent take alternate turns, each taking a number
  - Each number can be taken only once: If your opponent has selected a number, you cannot also take it
- The first person to have any three numbers that sum up to 15 wins the game
- Example:

You: **1** **5** **3** **8**

Opponent: **6** **4** **7** **2**



Opponent Wins!

Get-15 is "difficult"

- Hard to play
- The game is especially hard if you are not allowed to write anything down

- Why?
  - All the numbers need to be scanned to see if you have won/lost
  - It is hard to see what the opponent will take if you take a certain number
  - The choice of the number depends on all the previous numbers

  - Not easy to devise a simple strategy

Another game: Tic-Tac-Toe

Players take turns signing a field with their mark.
The first player to get three of his marks in a row, column, or diagonal wins.



Source: http://boulter.com/ttt/index.cgi

A draw sitation



Strategy
for determining a winning move



You win if

- you hold three fields on the two-segment line
- your opponent has none
- and yours include the corner

Winning situations for Tic-Tac-Toe






or likewise with the middle row or column






or likewise with a horizontal and diagonal



Tic-Tac-Toe is "Easy"

- Why? Reduction of complexity through patterns and symmetries
- Knowing the following **patterns**,
  the player can anticipate the opponent's move:

  - The player needs to remember only these three patterns (and use symmetries) to deal with all different game situations

- **Such patterns are useful for problem solving**
  - **and even better than that:**

Get-15 and Tic-Tac-Toe are equivalent problems!

Freie Universität Berlin

- Any three numbers that solve the Get-15 problem also solve tic-tac-toe
- Any tic-tac-toe solution is also a solution of Get-15
- To see the relationship between the two games, we simply arrange the 9 digits into the following pattern

8	1	6
3	5	7
4	9	2

Get-15 as Tic-Tac-Toe



You: 1 5 3 8



Opponent: 6 9 7 2

8	1	6
3	5	7
4	9	2



Why patterns are helpful

1. Patterns are abstractions
   - Understanding a pattern reduces a number of elements to a single idea
   - This saves mental resources and simplifies understanding
     - and communication

2. Patterns provide reuse
   - If I know the patterns solution previously,
     I do not have to invent my own solution: Reuse of ideas!

In the next two lectures we show how to use design patterns

Modeling heuristics

- Modeling must address our mental limitations:
  - Our short-term memory has only limited capacity (7±2)

- Good models deal with this limitation, because they
  - reduce complexity
    - Turn complex tasks into easy ones (by good choice of representation)
    - Use symmetries or other regularities
    - Use helpful abstractions
      - "Obvious" taxonomies
      - Memory limitations are overcome with an appropriate representation ("natural model")
  - and therefore do not tax the mind
    - A good model requires only little mental effort to understand

Design patterns have these properties.

Outline of the lecture

- Design Patterns
  - Usefulness of design patterns
- Patterns covered in this lecture
  - **Composite**: Model dynamic aggregates
  - **Facade**: Interfacing to subsystems
  - **Adapter**: Interfacing to existing systems (legacy systems)
  - **Bridge**: Interfacing to existing and future systems
- Patterns covered in the next lecture
  - Abstract Factory
  - Builder
  - Command
  - Observer
  - Proxy
  - Strategy

Patterns help finding objects

- The possibly hardest problems in object-oriented system development are:
  - Identifying objects
  - Decomposing the system appropriately into objects

1. Requirements Analysis focuses on application domain:
   - Identify application objects
2. System Design addresses both, application and implementation domain:
   - Identify architecture
   - Partition into subsystems and modules
3. Object Design focuses on implementation domain:
   - Transform application objects into solution objects
   - Identify technical solution objects

Design patterns help with Object Design

Definition: Design Patterns

Freie Universität Berlin

What are Design Patterns?

- A design pattern describes a **problem** which occurs over and over again in our environment

- Then it describes the **idea of a solution** to that problem
  - in such a way that you can use the pattern many times, without ever doing it the exact same way twice:
  - The solution idea will always be **adapted** to the specific context in which the pattern is being used

What is common between these two definitions?

Freie Universität Berlin

- Definition Software System:
  - "A software system consists of parts which are either themselves systems (called subsystems) or individual classes"

- Definition Software Lifecycle:
  - "A software development process consists of steps which are either smaller processes (called activities) or elementary tasks"

The Composite Pattern

- Models tree structures that represent *part/whole hierarchies* with arbitrary depth and width.
- The Composite Pattern lets a client treat individual objects and *compositions* of these objects uniformly



Describing the Composite Pattern

- Problem: Represent part/whole hierarchies so that
  1. they can have arbitrary depth and width
  2. can be created and modified dynamically
  3. composite parts can be handled just like elementary parts
- Solution idea:
  - Have a common superclass Component
  - Have two kinds of subclasses, one for elementary parts, one for composite parts
  - The composite part classes are containers holding Component objects
    - This realizes (1) and (2)
  - Operations common to all parts are defined in the Component class
    - This realizes (3)

- http://c2.com/cgi/wiki?CompositePattern

Two applications of the Composite Pattern

Freie Universität Berlin

A software system consists of parts which are either themselves systems (called subsystems) or indiv. classes	A SW dev. process consists of steps which are either smaller processes (called activities) or elementary tasks



The Composite Patterns models dynamic aggregates

Fixed Structure:



Organization Chart (variable aggregate):



Dynamic tree (recursive aggregate):



Composite Pattern

Graphic applications also use Composite Patterns

The *Graphic* Class represents both primitives (Line, Circle) and their containers (Picture)





More variants: many primitives and many containers

- Some Composite structures have many primitives and even several kinds of container
- E.g. the basic Java GUI framework ***java.awt***
- Primitives:
  - Button, Canvas, Checkbox, Choice, Label, List, Scrollbar, TextArea, TextField
- Containers
  - Container, Dialog, Frame, CellRendererPane, FileDialog, Panel, ScrollPane, Window, ...
- This is important about design patterns in general:

  Basic idea is fixed,
  details vary!



Design Patterns
reduce the complexity of models

Freie Universität Berlin

- To communicate a complex model we use navigation and reduction of complexity
  - We do not simply use a picture from a CASE tool and dump it in front of somebody
  - The key is to navigate through the model so the user can follow it
- We start with a very simple model and then decorate it incrementally
  - Start with key abstractions (use animation)
  - Then decorate the model with the additional classes
- To reduce the complexity of the model even further, we
  - Apply the use of inheritance (for taxonomies, and for design patterns)
    - If the model is still too complex, we show subclasses only separately
  - Then identify (or introduce) patterns in the model
    - We make sure to use the name of the patterns

Example: a model of a software project

Freie Universität Berlin

Basic Abstractions

24 classes
15+9+7
associations

Composite Patterns



Taxonomies

Exercise

- There are 55 basic elements (classes, associations) in the model
  - plus association names and multiplicities
- Your short-term memory can hold about 5 to 9 elements
- Redraw the complete model for Project from your memory using the following knowledge
  - Key abstractions: **Project, WorkProduct, Task, Schedule, Participant**
  - WorkProduct, Task and Participant are modeled with composite patterns, such as



- You have 5 minutes!

Adapter pattern

Also known as *Wrapper* pattern

- Problem: We need to provide a service that conforms to a given target interface T.
  We have an existing (legacy) implementation of that service, but it has a different interface S.
- Solution idea: Introduce an adapter class A that implements T based on S
  - Then use an A object plus an S object in place of a T object
- Used in Interface engineering and reengineering
- Two adapter patterns:
  - Class adapter: Uses multiple inheritance
  - Object adapter: Uses single inheritance and delegation
- Object adapters are much more frequent
  - We will only cover object adapters

Adapter pattern



- Target and Adaptee (usually called legacy system) pre-exist the Adapter
  - Target may be realized as an interface in Java
- Interface inheritance is used to specify the interface of the Adapter class
- Delegation is used to bind an Adapter and a legacy class (Adaptee)

Bridge pattern

Also known as *Handle/Body* pattern

- Problem: We need a complex domain abstraction (that may even evolve over time) that is realized on a technical basis that also evolves (or may vary or be exchanged completely)
  - Put differently: We want to decouple an abstraction from its implementation so that the two can vary independently

- Allows different implementations of an interface to be decided upon dynamically

Solution structure of Bridge pattern



Bridge motivation

- GUI libraries often need two inheritance hierarchies:
  - multiple classes for the GUI domain abstractions (design space)
  - multiple implementations for each (solution space)
    - (one per platform: Mac, Windows, X11, OS/2, etc.)
- Combining these into one leads to giant hierarchies:



X: Unix X11 Windowing System
MS: Microsoft Windows Win32

Bridge example



(Simplified. Actual GUI libraries are more complex than this)

Adapter vs. Bridge

- Similarities:
  - Both are used to hide the details of the underlying implementation
- Difference:
  - The adapter pattern is geared towards making unrelated components work together
    - Applied to systems after they're designed (reengineering, interface engineering)
  - A bridge, on the other hand, is used up-front in a design to let abstractions and implementations vary independently
    - Green field engineering of an "extensible system"
    - New "beasts" can be added to the "object zoo", even if these are not known at analysis or system design time
- Which one is more common?

Façade pattern

- Provides a unified interface to a set of objects in a subsystem
- A facade defines a higher-level interface that makes the subsystem easier to use
  - i.e. it abstracts away many details
- Facades allow us to provide a closed architecture
  - When a module consists of multiple classes, the Façade represents the module's interface



Design patterns encourage reusable designs

- A facade pattern should be used for each subsystem in a software system; it defines the visible services
  - The facade will delegate requests to the appropriate components within the subsystem
  - Most of the time the façade does not need to be changed when the component is changed
- Adapters interface to existing components
  - For example, a smart card software system should interface to different smart card readers via different adapters
- Bridges can be used to interface to a set of objects
  - where the full set is not known at analysis or design time
  - when the subsystem must be extended later after the system has been deployed and client programs are in the field (dynamic extension)

Additional design heuristics

1. Avoid implementation inheritance,
   always prefer interface inheritance
   - Because implementation inheritance often results in cascading changes when you modify the superclass
   - When you are tempted to use implementation inheritance, consider delegation instead

2. Apply "design by contract" throughout each inheritance hierarchy
   - Each subclass operation
     must require at most the preconditions of the superclass and
     must provide at least the postconditions of the superclass
     - Because only then code using the superclass will always also work correctly with each subclass
     - Make sure not to violate this rule when redefining superclass methods
   - A subclass must never hide operations implemented in a superclass

Literature

- Erich Gamma, Ralph Johnson, Richard Helm, John Vlissides: "*Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Software*", 1994.
  - The classic "Gang of Four" (GoF) book. Collection of basic design patterns found when constructing GUI frameworks, but useful in many situations

- Frank Buschmann, Regine Meunier, Hans Rohnert, Peter Sommerlad, Michael Stal: "*Pattern-Oriented Software Architecture: A System of Patterns*", 1996
  - The other classic (sometimes called "Gang of Five" book). Discusses architecture patterns, design patterns, idioms, and pattern systems

- http://c2.com *"The Portland Pattern Repository"*
  - The world's first wiki, created for discussing design patterns (and very many other things).
  - Interesting!

Summary

- Design patterns are solution ideas for common problems such as
  - separating an interface from (a number of alternate) existing implementations
  - wrapping around a (set of) legacy class(es)
  - protecting a caller from platform-specific changes
- A (oo-)design pattern describes how to compose a few classes
  - use delegation and inheritance
  - provide a robust and modifiable solution
- The idea underlying the pattern should be adapted/refined for the specific system under construction
  - Customization of the design and purpose
  - Reuse of existing solutions
  - Combination with other patterns

Thank you!